Hidden Markov Models in Computational Biology

Applications to Protein Modeling

Anders Krogh¹[†], Michael Brown¹, I. Saira Mian² Kimmen Sjölander¹ and David Haussler¹[‡]

¹Computer and Information Sciences ²Sinsheimer Laboratories University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, U.S.A.

Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) are applied to the problems of statistical modeling, database searching and multiple sequence alignment of protein families and protein domains. These methods are demonstrated on the globin family, the protein kinase catalytic domain, and the EF-hand calcium binding motif. In each case the parameters of an HMM are estimated from a training set of unaligned sequences. After the HMM is built, it is used to obtain a multiple alignment of all the training sequences. It is also used to search the SWISS-PROT 22 database for other sequences that are members of the given protein family, or contain the given domain. The HMM produces multiple alignments of good quality that agree closely with the alignments produced by programs that incorporate threedimensional structural information. When employed in discrimination tests (by examining how closely the sequences in a database fit the globin, kinase and EF-hand HMMs), the HMM is able to distinguish members of these families from non-members with a high degree of accuracy. Both the HMM and PROFILESEARCH (a technique used to search for relationships between a protein sequence and multiply aligned sequences) perform better in these tests than PROSITE (a dictionary of sites and patterns in proteins). The HMM appears to have a slight advantage over PROFILESEARCH in terms of lower rates of false negatives and false positives, even though the HMM is trained using only unaligned sequences, whereas PROFILESEARCH requires aligned training sequences. Our results suggest the presence of an EF-hand calcium binding motif in a highly conserved and evolutionary preserved putative intracellular region of 155 residues in the α -I subunit of L-type calcium channels which play an important role in excitation-contraction coupling. This region has been suggested to contain the functional domains that are typical or essential for all L-type calcium channels regardless of whether they couple to ryanodine receptors, conduct ions or both.

Keywords: hidden Markov models; multiple sequence alignments; globin; kinase; EF-hand

1. Introduction

The rate of generation of sequence data in recent years provides abundant opportunities for the development of new approaches to problems in computational biology. In this paper, we apply hidden Markov models (HMMs§) to the problems of statistical modeling, database searching, and multiple alignment of protein families and protein domains. To demonstrate the method, we examine three protein families. Each family consists of a set of proteins that have the same overall three-dimensional structure but widely divergent sequences. Features of the sequences that are determinants of folding, structure and function should be present as conserved elements in the family of sequences. We consider the globins, whole proteins ranging in length from 130 to 170 residues (with few exceptions) and two domains, the protein kinase catalytic domain (250 to 300 residues) and the EF-hand calcium-binding motif (29 residues). The same

[†] Present address: Electronics Institute, Build 349, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Lyngby, Denmark.

[‡] Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed.

[§] Abbreviations used: HMM, hidden Markov models; EM, Expectation-Maximization; ML. maximum likelihood; MAP, maximum *a posteriori*; NLL-score. negative log likelihood score.

approach can be used to model families of nucleic acid sequences as well (Krogh et al., 1993b).

A hidden Markov model (Rabiner, 1989) describes a series of observations by a "hidden" stochastic process, a Markov process. In speech recognition. where HMMs have been used extensively, the observations are sounds forming a word, and a model is one that by its hidden random process generates these sounds with high probability. Every possible sound sequence can be generated by the model with some probability. Thus, the model defines a probability distribution over possible sound sequences. A good word model would assign high probability to all sound sequences that are likely utterances of the word it models. and low probability to any other sequence. In this paper we propose an HMM similar to the ones used in speech recognition to model protein families such as globins and kinases. In speech recognition, the "alphabet" from which words are constructed could be the set of phonemes valid for a particular language: in protein modeling, the alphabet we use is the 20 amino acids from which protein molecules are constructed. Where the observations in speech recognition are words. or strings of phonemes. in protein modeling the observations are strings of amino acids forming the primary sequence of a protein. A model for a set of proteins is one that assigns high probability to the sequences in that particular set.

The HMM we build identifies a set of positions that describe the (more or less) conserved first-order structure in the sequences from a given family of proteins. In biological terms, this corresponds to identifying the core elements of homologous molecules. The model provides additional information, such as the probability of initiating an insertion at any position in the model and the probability of extending it. The structure of the model is similar to that of a profile (Waterman & Perlwitz, 1986: Barton & Sternberg, 1990: Gribskov et al., 1990; Bowie et al., 1991; Lüthy et al., 1991). but slightly more general. Once we have built the model from unaligned sequences. we can generate a multiple alignment of the sequences using a dynamic programming method. By employing it for database searching, the model can be used to discriminate sequences that belong to a given family from non-members. Finally, we can study the model we have found directly, and see what it reveals about the common structure underlying the various sequences in the family.

Our method of multiple alignment differs quite markedly from conventional techniques. which are usually based on pairwise alignments generated by dynamic programming schemes (Waterman, 1989: Feng & Doolittle, 1987; Barton, 1990: Subbiah & Harrison, 1989). The alignments produced by these methods often depend strongly on the particular values of the parameters required by the method, in particular the gap penalties (Vingron & Argos. 1991). Furthermore, a given set of sequences is likely to possess both fairly conserved regions and highly variable regions, yet conventional global methods assign identical penalties for all regions of the sequences. Substitutions, insertions, or deletions in a region of high conservation should ideally be penalized more than in a variable region, and some kinds of substitutions should be penalized differently in one position compared to another. That is one of the motivations for the present work. The statistical model we propose corresponds to multiple alignment with variable, position-dependent gap penalties. Furthermore, these penalties are in large part learned from the data itself. Essentially, we build a statistical model during the process of multiple alignment. rather than leaving this as a separate task to be done after the alignment is completed. We believe the model should guide the alignment as much as the alignment determines the model.

We are not the first group to employ hidden Markov models in computational biology. Lander & Green (1987) used hidden Markov models in the construction of genetic linkage maps. Other work employed HMMs to distinguish coding from noncoding regions in DNA (Churchill, 1989). Later, simple HMMs were used in conjunction with the EM algorithm to model certain protein-binding sites in DNA (Lawrence & Reilly, 1990; Cardon & Stormo, 1992) and, more recently, to model the N-caps and C-caps of alpha helices in proteins (D. Morris, unpublished results). These applications of HMMs and the EM (Expectation-Maximization) algorithm. including our own. presage a more widespread use of this technique in computational biology. During the time that we have been developing this approach. several related efforts have come to our attention. One is that of White. Stultz and Smith (White et al., 1991: Stultz et al., 1993), who use HMMs to model protein superfamilies. This work is more ambitious than our own, since superfamilies are harder to characterize than families. It is not yet clear how successful their work has been since no results are reported for sequences not in the training set. If there are weaknesses in their method, it is possible that these are due to the use of handcrafted models and reliance on prealigned data for parameter estimation. In contrast, our models have a simple regular structure, and we are able to estimate all the parameters of these models, including the size of the model directly from unaligned training sequences. Interestingly enough, they independently propose an alternate HMM state structure similar to ourst in section 6.3 of their paper (White et al., 1991). where they discuss the relationship of their work to Bowie and co-workers (Bowie et al., 1991), but they do not pursue this further. It is possible that the type of models we use may work better for characterizing superfamilies than those investigated by White et al. However, it is more likely that they are too simple, and that richer and more varied state

[†] Instead of using delete states, they have direct transitions between each pair of match states m_i and m_j with $i \langle j$.



structure along the lines they propose is required for this problem. We recently found that Asai *et al.* (1993) have applied HMMs to the problem of predicting the secondary structure of proteins. in terms of the probability it assigns to each protein sequence, we find that it is easier to first think of an HMM as a structure that generates protein sequences by a random process. This structure and corresponding



according to the probabilities $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{m}_1|\mathbf{m}_0)$, $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{d}_1|\mathbf{m}_0)$, and $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{i}_0|\mathbf{m}_0)$. If \mathbf{m}_1 is chosen, generate the first amino acid x_1 from the probability distribution $\mathcal{P}(x|\mathbf{m}_1)$, and choose a transition to the next state according to probabilities $\mathcal{T}(\cdot|\mathbf{m}_1)$, where \cdot indicates any possible next state. If this

principle find the model that best describes a given set of sequences.

Given a set of training sequences $s(1), \ldots, s(n)$, one can see how well a model fits them by calculating the probability that it generates them. This probability is simply a

llow	
×	
_	
L.	
<u> </u>	
<u></u>	
<u>.</u>	
-	
<u> </u>	

	probability estimate $\hat{\mathcal{T}}(r q)$ is obtained by counting the number of times a transition is made from state q to r, for all paths of all training sequences, weighted by the prob- ability of the path. The estimate $\hat{\mathcal{P}}(x q)$ is made in a similar manner, by counting the number of times the amino acid x is aligned to the state q. (3) In the next step of ML estimation, a new current model is created by simply replacing $\mathcal{T}(r q)$ by $\hat{\mathcal{T}}(r q)$ and $\mathcal{P}\{x q\}$ by $\hat{\mathcal{P}}(x q)$ for each x, g and r. In MAP EM	mizing the probability of the path and then taking the negative logarithm. it is convenient (and equivalent) to simply minimize the negative logarithm of the probability over all paths. This minimum we will call the distance from the sequence to the model, dist(s, model) = min {-log Prob(s, path model)} paths
<u>.</u>		
۰. ۴		
9 <u>22</u> ***		
1		
' _		
- -		
/		
÷		
.		
`-		
	·	
	~	
· ·		
-		
¥. 2000		



Figure 2. HMM architecture for discovering subfamilies.

components of the (composite) HMM. Presently, the number w of clusters and the initial lengths of the models for these clusters are determined empirically. We then add a new begin state with w outgoing transitions, one to each of the begin states of the component HMMs (see Fig. 2).

This new begin state is analogous to the other begin states in that it generates no amino acid. We then train this composite model with the EM algorithm as described in section (b). above. The EM re-estimation of a component model is the same as the re-estimation of a single model, except that the weight that a sequence has in the re-estimation of a component is proportional to the probability of the sequence given that component model. Thus, sequences that have better NLL-scores for a particular HMM component have greater influence in re-estimating the parameters of that component to change in such a known subfamilies of the sequences. Experiments with the clustering of globin sequences are described in Results section (a).

(e) Modeling protein domains with an HMM

There are many cases when one does not want to build a statistical model of a family of whole proteins like globins, but instead to build a model of a structural motif or domain that occurs as a subsequence in many different kinds of proteins, such as the EF-hand motif (Nakayama et al., 1992) or the kinase catalytic domain (Hanks & Quinn, 1991). Here we expect our model to only match a relatively small subsequence of any given protein, with many other unmatched amino acids appearing before and after this subsequence. One approach to this problem is to alter the dynamic programming method used to align a sequence to a model so that it tries all possible ways of aligning each subsequence of the sequence to a model (Waterman, 1989). We use a simpler (but almost equivalent) method in which only the HMM model is altered, so that the same standard procedures (forward-backward and Viterbi) which we use for models of whole proteins can be used without modification for models of domains.

('onsider a training set of many unaligned sequences consisting not of complete proteins, but of a specific domain. Our first step is to train an HMM for these sequences exactly as described earlier. As shown in Figure 1, this HMM will have initial and final "dummy" match states m_0 and m_{N+1} (where N + 1 = 5 in Fig. 1) that do not match any amino acid. To alter the HMM to represent a protein domain, we create 2 new insert states i_B and i_E , adding i_B to the model before the state m_0 and i_E at the end of the model after m_{N+1} (see Fig. 3).

We then add a new dummy BEGIN state before i_B and a new dummy END state after i_E . Eight new transitions are also added to the model. The first 4 are from BEGIN to i_B from m_{N-1} to i_E , and the self-loops from i_B to itself

l	
ł	
l	
Ì	
١	
,	
	Particular and the second s
ľ	
Ì	
I	
Ĩ	
1	
I	
1	
1	
ļ	
Í	
11	

	comparing the distances or NLL-scores of 2 sequences Most proteins tend to lie on a fairly straight line
ےر ا	
je se	fa .
·	
•	
· · ·	
1	
L <u>ka</u>	
-	
i	
-	

(g) Initial model, local minima, and choice of model length

As mentioned in section (b), above, when estimating the model from the training sequences, the EM algorithm does not guarantee convergence to the best model. It is basically a steepest-descent-type algorithm that climbs the nearest peak (local maximum) of the likelihood function (or the posterior probability in MAP estimation). Since finding the globally optimal model seems to be a difficult optimization problem in general (Abe & Warmuth, 1990), we have experimented with various heuristic methods to improve the performance of the method.

Probably the best method is to give the model a hint if something is already known about the sequences, which is often the case. A good starting point makes it much more likely that the nearest peak is at least close to optimal. This is done by setting the probabilities in the initial model to values reflecting that knowledge. If, for instance, an alignment of some of the sequences is available, it is straightforward to translate that into a model by simply calculating the relative frequency of the amino acids and the transition frequencies in each position, as in the profile method (Gribskov *et al.*, 1990).

It is of course even more interesting if the model can be found from a tabula rasa, i.e. using no knowledge about the sequences. For that we have used an initial model where all equivalent probabilities are the same, i.e. $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{m}_{k+1}|\mathbf{m}_k)$ is independent of the position k in the model, and similarly for all other transition probabilities, and $\mathcal{P}(x|m_k)$ is also independent of k. To avoid the smaller local maxima, noise is added to the model during the iteration before each re-estimation. Initially quite a lot of noise is added, but over 10 iterations the noise is decreased linearly to zero. Since noise is added directly to the model, it is not like the usual implementation of simulated annealing, but the principle is the same. The "annealing schedule" is presently rather arbitrary, but it does seem to give reasonable results† if it is applied several times, and the best of the models found is used as the final model.

It is important that the best model be selected, since suboptimal models do produce inferior alignments in general. However, when studying alignments from suboptimal globin models, we noted that they tend to align some regions well, occasionally getting better alignments in those regions than the best overall model found, while in other regions they are completely incorrect. This leaves open the intriguing possibility of combining the best solutions found for different regions into a new overall best model. We have not yet explored this possibility.

The length of the model is also a crucial parameter that needs to be chosen a priori. However, we have developed a simple heuristic that selects a good model length, and even helps in the problem of local maxima. The heuristic is this: after learning, if more than a fraction $\ddagger \gamma_{del}$ of the paths of the sequences choose d_k , the delete state at position k, that position is removed from the model. Similarly, if more than a fraction γ_{ins} make insertions at position k (in state i_k), a number of new positions equal to the average number of insertions made at that position are inserted into the model after position k. After these changes in the model, it is retrained, and this cycle is repeated until no more changes are needed. We call this "model surgery".

(h) Over-fitting and MAP estimation

A model with too many free parameters cannot be estimated well from a relatively small data set of training sequences. If we try to estimate such a model, we run into the problem of overfitting, in which the model fits the training sequences very well, but gives a poor fit to related (test) sequences that were not included in the training set. We say that the model does not "generalize" well to test sequences. This phenomenon has been well documented in statistics and machine learning (see e.g. Geman et al., 1992; Berger, 1985). One way to deal with this problem is to control the effective number of free parameters in the model by using prior information. This can be accomplished with MAP estimation. Parameters that we assume (via our prior distribution on models) can be well-estimated a priori in effect become less adaptive. because it takes a lot of data to override our prior beliefs about them, whereas those about which we have only weak prior knowledge are estimated in almost the same manner as in maximum likelihood estimation. In this way, the model can have a very large number of parameters, but a much smaller number of "effectively free" parameters. To make MAP estimation practical, we use Dirichlet distributions as priors. The details of the method are described elsewhere (Krogh et al., 1993a; Brown et al., 1993).

3. Results

(a) Globin experiments

The modeling was first tested on the globins, a large family of heme-containing proteins involved in the storage and transport of oxygen that have different oligomeric states and overall architecture (for a review see Dickerson & Geis (1983)). Hemoglobins are tetramers composed of two α chains and two other subunits (usually β , γ , δ or θ). Myoglobin is a single chain, some insect globins are present as dimers and some intracellular invertebrate globins occur in large complexes of many subunits.

Globin sequences were extracted from the SWISS-PROT database (release 19) by searching for the keyword "globin". Eliminating the false positives, resulted in 625 genuine globin sequences of average length 145 amino acids. We left three non-globins in the sample for illustrational purposes giving a total of 628 sequences. The sample of globins in the database is not the random sample a statistician would prefer, but is perhaps one of the best and largest collections of protein sequences from a homologous family. Searching for the words "alpha", "beta", "gamma", "delta", "theta", and "myoglobin" in the data file yielded 224 alpha, 199 beta, 16 gamma, 8 delta and 5 theta chains and 79 myoglobins, which adds up to 531 sequences. These should naturally be considered minimum numbers. but they give a good picture of how skewed the sample is.

To test our method, we trained an HMM using the method described in Methods sections (b) and

[†] An alternate method that also appears to give good results has been developed by Baldi *et al.* (Baldi *et al.*, 1993; Baldi & Chauvin, 1993). This method uses stochastic gradient descent in place of the EM method, which may help in avoiding local minima.

[‡] Currently we choose γ_{del} and γ_{ins} each to be 1/2.

Helix	AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA B	BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB	CCCCCCC DDDDDDDEE
HBA_HUMAN	VLSPADKTNVKAAWGKVGAB	IAGEYGAEALERMFLSFPTTI	TYFPHF-DLSHGSA
HBB_HUMAN	VHLTPEEKSAVTALWGKVN	VDEVGGEALGRLLVVYPWT	RFFESFGDLSTPDAVMGNP
MYG_PHYCA	VLSEGEWQLVLHVWAKVEAD	VAGHGQDILIRLFKSHPET	EKFDRFKHLKTEAEMKASE
GLB3_CHITP	LSADQISTVQASFDKVKG	DPVGILYAVFKADPSI	AKFTQFAG-KDLESIKGTA
GLB5_PETMA	PIVDTGSVAPLSAAEKTKIRSAWAPVYS7	TYETSGVDILVKFFTSTPAA	REFFPKFKGLTTADQLKKSA
LGB2_LUPLU	GALTESQAALVKSSWEEFNAN	VIPKHTHRFFILVLEIAPAA	KDLFS-FLK-GTSEVPQNNP
GLB1_GLYDI	GLSAAQRQVIAATWKDIAGAD	GAGVGKDCLIKFLSAHPQM	AAVFG-FSGASDP

Helix	EEEEEEEEEEEEEE	FFFFFFFFFFFF	FFGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG
HBA_HUMAN	QVKGHGKKVADALTNAVAHVDDMPN	ALSALSDLHAHKL-	-RVDPVNFKLLSHCLLVTLAAHLPAE
HBB_HUMAN	KVKAHGKKVLGAFSDGLAHLDNLKG	TFATLSELHCDKL-	-HVDPENFRLLGNVLVCVLAHHFGKE
NYG_PHYCA	DLKKHGVTVLTALGAILKKK-GHHEA	ELKPLAQSHATKH-	-KIPIKYLEFISEAIIHVLHSRHPGD
GLB3_CHITP	PFETHANRIVGFFSKIIGELPNIE	DVNTFVASHKPRG-	VTHDQLNNFRAGFVSYMKAHTD
GLB5_PETMA	DVRWHAERIINAVNDAVASMDDTEKNSM	KLRDLSGKHAKSF-	-QVDPQYFKVLAAVIADTVAAG
LGB2_LUPLU	ELQAHAGKVFKLVYEAAIQLQVTGVVVTDA	TLKNLGSVHVSKG-	VADAHFPVVKEAILKTIKEVVGAK
GLB1_GLYDI	GVAALGAKVLAQIGVAVSHLGDEGKMV	QMKAVGVRHKGYGN	KHIKAQYFEPLGASLLSAMEHRIGGK

Helix	внятинининининининини
HBA_HUMAN	FTPAVHASLDKFLASVSTVLTSKYR
HBB_HUMAN	FTPPVQAAYQKVVAGVANALAHKYH
NYG_PEYCA	FGADAQGAMNKALELFRKDIAAKYKELGYQG
GLB3_CHITP	FA-GAEAAWGATLDTFFGMIFSKM
GLB5_PETMA	DAGFEKLMSMICILLRSAY
LGB2_LUPLU	WSEELNSAWTIAYDELAIVIKKEMNDAA
GLB1_GLYDI	MNAAAKDAWAAAYADISGALISGLQS

Figure 4. Seven representative globin sequences of known structure and their alignment taken from Bashford *et al.* (1987). The letters A to H in Helix denote the 8 different α -helices. Some regions, especially CD, D and FG, are not well defined. The sequences and their SWISS-PROT identifiers are Human α (HBA_HUMAN), human β (HBB_HUMAN), sperm whale myoglobin (MYG_PHYCA), larval *Chironomous thummi* globin (GLB3_CHITP), sea lamprey globin (GLB5_PETMA), *Lupinus luteus* leghemoglobin (LGB2_LUPLU), and bloodworm globin (GLB1_GLYDI). (In SWISS-PROT 19 a \$ is used instead of an "_" in the identifiers.)

(g). We used a homogeneous initial model that contained no knowledge about the globin family. Its probability parameters were derived from the prior, and were the same for all equivalent transitions (i.e. 9 different transition probabilities). All amino acid probabilities (the \mathcal{P} distributions) were set equal to the distribution of the amino acids given by Krogh *et al.* (1993a). In the insert states we used a probability of 1/20 for all amino acids. The only model parameters set by hand are the initial transition probabilities and corresponding regularization parameters (see Krogh *et al.*, 1993a). From our experigure the method does not seem to be very sensitive NLL-score for the model, which was the average of the NLL-scores for the training sequences, as defined in Methods section (b). The final NLL-scores varied considerably for these runs but the best was 210-7.

We then took this model, produced ten new models by adding noise, and optimized these. These models all generated approximately the same NLL-score and we picked the model with the best NLL-score, 210-3, having a length of 147. We validated this model[†] in two ways: from the alignments it produced, and by its ability to discriminate between globins and non-globins. The results are



was achieved by aligning these seven sequences and then aligning the rest of the 226 studied to the closest of these seven. In contrast. generating multiple alignments with HMMs requires no prior knowledge of underlying structure. Using the globin HMM, we produced a multiple alignment of all the 625 globin sequences by the Viterbi algorithm as described in Methods section (c). Figure 5 shows this alignment for the seven sequences from Bashford *et al.* (1987).

The alignment found in this experiment agrees extremely well with the structurally derived alignment of Bashford *et al.* Our alignment differs in the region between the C and E helices. However, this is a highly variable area since only some globins possess a D helix. The difference in the F/G-helices between secondary structure elements. The last two insertions appear in the F/G region.

(ii) Database search: discriminating globins from non-globins

The globin HMM model we found was also tested on all the 25.044 proteins in the SWISS-PROT database release 22.0 of length less than 5000 amino acids (which is all but 2). A NLL-score and a Z-score were computed for each of these sequences as described in Methods section (f). These are plotted in Figures 6 and 7 as a scatter plot and a histogram, respectively. For the histogram (but not the scatter plot), the data were filtered as follows:

All sequences with a Z-score >3.5 and either

		L	
•			
2			
দ			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2 			



Figure 6. Plot of NLL-score versus sequence length for globins and non-globins. All sequences of length less than 300 from the SWISS-PROT 22 database are shown, including partial sequences and 3 false globins from the globin file, and sequences from the database containing many Ns.



so sequences with many Xs spuriously match the model very well.

Since we searched a newer release of SWISS-PROT (release 22) than the one from which the globin training set was extracted (release 19), eight new globins were found and incorporated into the test set.

Five globin fragments of length 19 to 45 were removed from the data.

Three non-globin sequences in the globin file that were identified as outliers in Figure 6 were removed. One of these non-globics was left as part of the

·				a. • •	
.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<u> </u>					
¢		4			
h .					
<u>r</u>					
I					
-					
<u>к. </u>	_1				

(GLB_PARCA and GLB_TETPY) are protozoan, whereas the other globins are metazoan. The primary sequences of these globins are similar and have little similarity with other eukaryotic globins. Note also that both of these sequences are in the test set.

(iii) Discovering subfamilies of globins

We also performed an experiment to automatically discover subfamilies of globins using the method described in Methods section (d). An HMM with ten component HMMs was used. The initial lengths of the components were chosen randomly between 120 and 170, but were adjusted by model surgery during training. We trained this HMM on all 628 globins and then calculated the NLL-score for each sequence for each of the ten component HMMs. A sequence was classified as belonging to the cluster represented by the component HMM that gave the lowest NLL-score, i.e. the one giving the highest probability to that sequence.[†] Three of these clusters were empty and the remaining seven nonempty ones represented chains from known globin subfamilies:

Class 1. 233 sequences: principally all α , a few ζ (an α -type chain of mammalian embryonic hemoglobin), π/π' (the counterpart of the α chain in major early embryonic hemoglobin P), and θ -1 chains (early erythrocyte α -like).

<u>Class 2. 232 sequences. almost all B. a few δ </u>

indicates what fraction of the 400 training sequences made that transition or used that particular amino acid. A broken line indicates that less than 5% of the sequences used that transition. (The continued delete is mostly due to fragments that have to make many deletions.) The histogram in a match state shows the distribution of amino acids that were matched to that state. The number in an insert shows the average length of an insertion beginning at that position.

For the amino acids the ordering proposed by Taylor (1986) is used. Starting from the top, the amino acids are medium-sized and non-polar, small and medium polar (around G and P), medium sized and polar (around K), large medium-polar (around F and Y), and finally below they are medium-large and non-polar. There does seem to be some tendency for the distributions to peak around neighboring amino acids when using this ordering, as one would expect. When one looks at the whole model, regions that are highly conserved are also readily distinguished from the more variable regions, both as a function of the probability that a position is skipped, and the entropy of the distribution of amino acids at that position.

(b) Kinase experiments

Protein kinases are defined as enzymes that transfer a phosphate group from a phosphate dopon

<u>, </u>	
۶.	
2	
-	
~	
5	
ĩ	
2	
	A N
-	
J	
N	
1	
-	
1	
,	
_	

-	
19	
(fr	
- 	
- -	
~ -	
~ -	
~ -	



Figure 9. Scatter plot of NLL-score versus length for sequences in SWISS-PROT using the Kinase HMM.

The general issue of estimating the number of false negatives and false positives when distinguishing sequences belonging to a given family



Figure 10. Histogram showing the number of sequences with a certain Z-score relative to the kinase model.

from non-members is a complex one. In the case of the globins, it is "relatively" straightforward since it is possible to identify all the globins in the database by performing a keyword or title string search. The situation for the kinase domain or the EF-hand motif (see section (c) below) is less obvious and thus more problematic. For instance, while a given protein may possess the sequence characteristics for this motif or domain. functionally, the region may not bind calcium or possess kinase activity. We have attempted to address this complicated matter as best we can as described below. However, we stress that we do not feel able to give a definitive answer as to the number of true false negatives and true false positives in our kinase or EF-hand database discrimination tests.

A list of potential protein kinases was created from the union of sequences designated as being kinases from four independent sources: our HMM, PROSITE (a dictionary of sites and patterns in proteins (Bairoch. 1992)), PROFILESEARCH (a technique used to search for relationships between a protein sequence and multiply aligned sequences (Gribskov et al., 1990)) and a keyword search.

Two regions of the catalytic domain of eukaryotic protein kinases have been used to build PROSITE signature patterns. The first pattern corresponds to an area believed to be involved in ATP binding (PROSITE entry PROTEIN_KINASE_ATP, sequence motif [LIV]G.G.[FYM][SG].V). There are two signature patterns for the second region important for catalytic activity: one specific for serine/

		2)	31	41	54	7	1	83	91	101	111	121	131
Subdomain				>					->.<-111				
PROSITE					• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		.			• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
X-ray						8888888.8/		.					
I-ray			22222.			3333333.31	8888.	8	.BC.CCC.	c	.c	.00000.0	
1 CAPE-ALPRA	FENI.RTL	GTGSFGR . VI	LVX	E	TG.#	HYARKIL .DI	(q1VV.		LK.Q.JEH.	т	.1.JE	. KRILQ.AV	
2 WEES+	FRAV.TLL	GSGEFSE. VI	QVEDP.		EX.T]	KYAVERL .KI	/1FS.	G	.PK.E.RNR.	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	L.OE	.VSIDA.AL	
3 TEK -	FE01.EE1	GLCGFGQ . VI	*****		DG.K	RYAIKRV.K	· · · · · · · · · ·	1	TE.K.AL			TEN LO M	
A SPRI	df	GOCAFAT . VI	(RA] EA	.		. T7 AVKI I .SI	(FR. VI.	G		т		SDT LA-DV	
5 2521-0	FELL.RVL	.5463+6A.VI		rp			Fa	n	. 10. 1.105	Y	.R. NE	14Y.18.81	
6 PT3		CRESSER N	QUL	A	TF 5	LYATEL	Kdy	G	.DD.D.VEC.			.RAV. LA.LL	
T PRC-ALTAX	110 E 972	CSCAECO VI	VEAT AU		LS Hants	KVAVERL.R	S	k	.55.E.RQA.			LX1	GpH
8 FUGER-0	1 FS1 .051	GROAVOR . V	SEVI			INATKEV .R	L	D	.EA.R.FRQ.		.L.XE	LEV. LH.AC	
10 8111	FDOV. SPI	NESDEST . V	WWSSIn	pP	TE.T		Kn	x	.FT.G.RER.	H	.1.QE	.VSI.LQ.AL	QaC
EI KCK		GRGAFGT. V	TOAY LT.	.	DK.Kowig.	PFAIREN.P	.	n.	.TE.Y.KS			.LQ1LA.IA	BH
12 INS.8		GOGSFON . V	YEGT AR.	2	II. Ageaet	RVAVKTV.I	ESA.	s	LR.E.RIE.	 F	.L.#E	. ASY RX . GF	
13 H5VK	FTIN.GAL	TPGSEGC . V	FDSS KP .		YP.Q	.RVIVEAG.W	۲۲	5	.15		·, XE	.ARL LR. RL	
14 EAR)		IGEGAYG R . V	SSAY. DH.	ÿ	RK.T	.RVAIKKI.S	PFE.	¥	.Q7.Y.CQL.	1	T.L.RE	. 101 LL . GF	
15 EGFR		GSGAFST.V	YRGLWI.	· • • • • F • • • • • • •	EG.Ekvki.	.PVAIKEL.R	EAT.	\$.PK.A.ME.		L.DE	.AYV	
16 ECK		IGACEFSE.V	YRGN. LAL	:	SG.Rhev	PVAINTL.K	A GY	T.	.ER.Q.RVD.		F.L.GE	. AG] AG.QF	
17 DPYRJ	aeLEFC.QT	IGRGF7SE.V	ARGY WR	· · · E · · · · · ·	ĭ	.DTAIRII.Y	kdqFK	1	.KS.5.LVM.		F.Q. NE		K
18 CLX	ATYEIV.DTL	LGEGAFER.V	VECIDH.		VGgR	.RVAVKIV.R		.v	BR.Y.CEA.			1C) 18 51	
19 CDC285	YTR1.ER	IGEGTYQV.V	YKGR HR.				Le		.20.0.751.			ANT CO 11	1 H
20 CARIL-ALPHA	YOLF .EEL	LGREAFSY. Y	RRCY KY.		AG.Q	CUITETI E	P		TE C PEL			ADV. NX. KL	
21 C-SAC		LGQGCFGE.V	WAG1W.			-VIVETI B		1	PE.O.FOA		F.R	VAV. LE.K	
72 C-KAP	THE STATES	CACOPCE W	TRON		ж- т	RVAVESL.R	G	G	SE.S.PDA.	F	.L.AE	ARL	
23 RESERVICE	MELECORATELY FRI	GACOFSE . V	WET			RVAVESL.K	Q	6	.SN.S.PVP.		F.L. AE	ANL	
25 ABER_HUBAN	Mdleav190FSVN.11	GREEFEE.V	YGCR		TC.X	WYARKCL.D	Kh MI	K.	.KK.Q.GET.		LAL. RE	RIN. LS.LV	atg.0C
26 ARR BOTIS	audleav 190FSVH . RII	GRGGFGE.V	TOCR KA.			. RYARRCL.D	RhR1	ĸ	.RK.Q.GET.		AL	RIG. LS.LV	atg.DC
27 BYR1_SCHPO	MERTETAP65LEW . NH	.GEGRGGA . V	SLVK HR.	-		.FRARATY.Y	v	D	.SK.1.QKQ.	1	I.L.8E	LCV. LH.NC	kS
28 CYGLARDYO	MALLY 11579001F .ATI	16	TYR.	3	?	. ICALHAVER	¥		. ID.L.TRA.		V.R.TE	.LKLNa.Da	t ki t
29 A3PA,347	mpgaryw53665LL.TT-	ErQF-Q.V	FAKT AY.	¥	KG.N	LVAVERVAR	x	. n	.IE.L.TRR.		V.L.FE		Q
30 AMPA_NURAB	mpgprrp471LEVL.AL	VG515	LTC1LI.	Vattiyr	km70KG.3	LVAVKRUNR	K		.IE.L.TRR.		V.L.FE		
31 REPR.KORAN	malps115125827.151	LRGSSYGS .L	NTANGRY	qita∎	TG. Hfligs.	. WVAIKHV.	XX	.R	IE.L. TRO.		V.L.FE		
32 ANPALROUSE	mprsrrvb36GSLL.TT-	ECOF-0.V	FART AT .			. LVAVXRVDR	X	. L	IE.L. 788.		V.L.FE		·····
33 ANPELAT	M1191151258LT.LSI	LRGSSVGS.L	RTAK GRy	ditri	16.MINSH.	VCAL HAVE	K	¥	TR 1 TR4				t
34 CTGS_STIPI	MAAPA1582001F.AT	16				TU-TEVEL		1	BOYS LEP		F.L.OR		
35 VPSF.VEAST	Badie 144342KPL . KT-		ROCL. YO			RVILEDL.J	Hed	IS	.EK.Q.AJ		E		
37 NSER BUILDER	mb+1114645121.V.AL		ELES. YE.		449DR	.RVILKDL.	MndGN		.EX.Q.KI-		·		L D
38 182.7778	adaddtal 38	-GRETTER . V			PS.S	. KIAYKTE.	SrVF		.RE.L.THA		1.LaSE	.GSIraGE.M	
39 182.85V) 1	Mestra157	-GSGGTGD . V	QLIR. ER.		L		Ekey .FA		.EL.1.ATL		L.V.GE	C-VLR.AD	iAt
40 IRILESVII	B	E.V	YAWELANF.			AAPKL . I	Ewe VS		TR.E.IR-		•.•.•		
41 KR2_EBT	3garssv100L	LGRESTER.W	T AX .		DR.A	. TVKL. 1	D		EL.Y.#E-]mrc	tm1Q1GK.AT	TaedgQD
12 KAR2_VACCV	mathyst 14WIIG. WT	17568-51.1	YXVR		75.Sty		••••••	.D		••••••••••	L.L.SE	IRF YI.51	1Pits
43 KAB2_VACCC	mathyct14VLIG.XT	LYSGE-S!.L	TRVR XE.	F	75.Sty			. Ø			L.L.3E	EAT 15 P	
44 413_ECOL1	metrest32VBL	¥.¥	LSAS AG .	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		LYALAEG.	Lpcerr L	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	EA V MOT			VF1 10.0	Lr. Sw
45 PSP_ROUSE	stolgsly:0ESLL.GE	165	AV BH .	•••••••	DX [=how	LAIL.	¥	1			RE	VDL PR.E	¥1
46 DHUILDACSE	ML41FVgCLUC.DT	¥656	ATTG		TG. Bo	RANTLET.	51	Dysasyykh	ITDE.E.IER		L.T.LE		VQH
AR CHIO BARTY	Marro	105005-	VHCF EC			F.I	Evd GV	.D			s.L.W		Te
49 8818 90048	Waterrag / 2010.2.1	L	WLVL		L=		·	.6	IA . Y . V		7.1.AE		KQrr15
50 BUVA.FCOLI	miertreitSLVL1.EV	GGVG	TEVH AP			CF.	YE LP	.ε	AG		3Q		F
51 0158.05760	Mingret 1943784.LV	LGYCAYCE .	F DL			VAIRTA.	ΙE	.D	ES.V.ISA		F.I.A	 •.•	••
52 ENFLUACCE	BEVA205194	106161.1	FLID		At7945.5		VErKD	.s	IR.F.WR-			LS.K	FYP
53 TL97_KCEVA	BS & Lirs 335 2	LODGSFEE.	N	F	LD.R	YRVVKVA.			SE.T.VLT		V.W.N		••
54 X846_AC194	🕶 lpsiiqi JSVLEpHK	100-5850.1	/¥5-F SR		#+.E	TFFLKRS.	ST]yTE		TY.S. #5-	•••••	KE		L
55 REABLECOLI	madidree 27WARD. KV	GQSGCA	FYRLN SR			DLFLAKG.	KDatadD'	.1	DE. N. VR-	•••••••			L
56 KCPB_BOVIX	·····		HGTLR	····•	D.L	QYAL.	QEXIE	.E	LR.Q.RDA	••••••	L.I.DE	LEL	
57 EGFR.CHICK	mgwrspla16VLVL.LL	161	ALCS AV	E	E-	KKY.	¢Q61			•••••	-,-,-,,		LBHwed
S& REALECOLI	Rebigret32	VGQSG	AT 1 . YR		YG. Rpdap	•.LFL.	AH					17	
SS LOTE DECKE	MPAATIT 3934 RLS. NE	LGK-EFSK.	EF-GL.EF		11072F0.Gpp	PERKAR.	15. 1			A. PPbbiga	T.E.M.		I
PO TLCC"NAWAR	m812p8121	terester.	-846440	5.CEPVI									
					A	(cont)						

Fig. 11.

threenine kinases (PROTEIN KINASE ST. OKROG) howine of MP. demandent mentain kinases

 \backslash

	Cabdanaia	241	151	161	171	181	191	201	213	221	231	241	251	261	271		
	PROSITE								•••••								
	I-543 I-744				B 4	.0888 .4445			·····				•••••		LA A EE E		
	1 CAPE-ALPHA	·····P	FL	V KLE.	····F····	SFK0 1151		LY	ETTPGG	E. KFS			5 -k		EP #	INF .	
	2 WEEL+ 3 TIL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		.V		SVENGGF 	ismedtery	12LF 1QH	EFCBIC		FLEE.		Gq15		ŒL., LiL.,	11.0.	
	4 SPLI	·····.		.vц.	····c. ···	.FYED TES	s				FV		G	YG.		GRE.	
	6 PTT	· · · · · D.		I		TETTDQ1	r		ECGI-1	D.L#5			L\$		WE		
	7 PRC-ALPEA	P.	FL	.TQLN.	····s	CFQT VSR	L	LYFVR	ETTIGG	D.LET			6		(PQ.,	ATF .	
	9 P852	·····P.		¥DFY.	G	AFF1EGA			ETROGG				GG		PQ.	LAF.	
	IC BILL	P.	F¥	V ILV.	· · · · K. · · ·	WYSY NON	l	IFLQL		D.LSL	FLSE.	L	5114¥		Fk	WWE.	
	12 185.8	······#·		¥	c	VVSRCOP				D.LLS	NL IS.	L	L.P. Eest	. 11. qqrgq	QE.		
	13 HSYK 14 EUKI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		LPLL.	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	LHVVSGV ILRAPTL		TCLVL	PKYQA	D.LYT	YLSR.	s	L	LG.I	19Q 19R	141.	
	IS EEFE	·····P		c		1CL1	·····		QLNPFG				KDK		QT	LL .	
	16 ECX 17 0PYL1	·····	#1	. 1	····6····	ACTACEEDE	· 		EVILEIG		FL10.		06,.⊾ F				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																	
, 4 <u>;-</u> ,																	
·																	
											- a.c.						
-																	
6																	
				•						• •							
ir																	
1 <u>//</u>																	
5																	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																	
· ;			•				T. 8. C							, _ -			
t																	
4																	
									6		_						
					_												
5																	
														_		~	

		Subdamain	28: 29	301	311 321	331 34}	351 36	371	381	391	401	411		
		PROSITE		•••••	B)BBBBBBBB.B.B.								
		l-ray l-ray	AAL	A	AA.A88 EE.E	988	8.88	iaa.	88		B	•••••		
		1 CAPR-ALPHA	¥44	.QIVLTFE	YL.H	IYRDLKPE.S.LL.	QQG		QVT.0FGF.4			1 .7		
		2 WEEJ+	1LV	EVALGLQ	F1.8	THEOLEPALE VI	1.1FEG	t	RIG.D. FGR.A			PVPR.G		
		4 5913	151	QIL7AIR	VI.R	ISHRDLRPD.I.IL			KIT.DFGL.A.			1GSF.H		
		5 RSI.)-P	TLA	ELALGLD	WL.W	IYRDLAPE.V.IL.			ALT.D. FGL.S.		ID	EXE.A		
		6 PT1 7 PRC-ALPHA	TWK	E	FL.H	I IYADLALD.E.VA	I.ØSEG	N	RIA.D. FGB.C.			DE2.5VV.A		
		8 PDGFK-8	FS1	Q	FL.4	CVHROLAAR.B.VL		V	RIC.D. FGL.A.			Da 1177 . 5		
		9 P852 10 RIX1	ALF	QLTQALM	ELLE	I INADVAPT.B.IL. F VNLDVAPS.B.VL	C.54NQG	¥	NLC.DFGV.S NLC.DFGL.A.		¥A 	SSR.V		
	t	13 ACE3	¥T¥	Q	YL.KG.L.GV	VCHRDIRPS.V.VL	¥.DpETG	¥ L	MIC.D., FGS.A.		EH	IQPS		
<u>}</u>				E IAR CUA	<u>×_1 (1)</u>	<u>с шрылав в са</u>	<u>u 1 anc</u>	* *	<u></u>	A nx	~7*	<u></u>		
_														
й <u>-</u>														
· · · ·														
1	•										-			
\$ <u>.</u>														
<u></u>														
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·														
<u></u>				C*4	5 19 a an O	· · · · · ·								
1														
		1							A Sector					
11111														
-														

	423	431	441	451	461	471	481	491	50:	\$11	521	531	\$41	551
Subdomila	111			-><-[]									X	
PROSITE	•••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • •	····	• • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	·····			•••••
J-ray	421 431 441 451 461 471 481 491 501 511 521 531 541 551 111													
I-ray	•••••••		• • • • • • • •	•••••			.F.FFFF	FFFFFFFFFFFFF		••••••				
1 CAPR-ALPRA	•••••••WT	LCGT.PE	LAPE	IIL	SK	G-YEK		ALCYLIYERAA .	G	P	F	FA.	DQP	.1
2 VEE1+	····•	LEGO.C.E	I.IAPE	VLA	WR	E-TOK		SLGITVFEAAA	1	····Pdsgqsu	ф117•	PR.	.1551	.0
3 11k			(.#SPE	QUF	LK			ALGUILAELL	*#1 *		····· • • · · · ·	···T···E·	.=5t#	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5 BCE1-0		FCG1.L		* [E	URGEBVEG	C-171	< A 1965	SECLETTILL.						·B····Q·····
6 PTT						CE 1CP	T 5074	SI CCTI VYNTY	c 17			0 0	TIMA	· ·
7 PEC-11PH4		FCGT.P. DY	TAPE .	114		P-YGE		ANGVEL YENEA.	с		F		-EDF	.nF.
8 PDGF1-8		STFL.P.LI	L.WRAP	EG1				SPGILLWEIFTI	GGT			E.	1P81	.EQ.
9 9852		TIGC.0		11	SLapdr		.0.50/1	SLGLSILENAL .	G	P		PP.	-ETY	.0pitsQ
10		LEGD.R Y	. LAPE	TLA			.P.ADVY	SLGLSRIEAATS	v	Peseven	œr16L	PN.	.LEDL	. L
11 NCK1		TICS.LF		L11			.q. ION	GLGCVRGERLI.	G			qc.	.QEP2	
12 EMS.R		IGLL . P VI	L.WRAP	ESL		GVFTT	.S.SDIW	SECTIVE	AEQ			qG.		.9
13 HSVR		1467.1D1		VLA	GD	P-YTT		SAGLVIFETAVA	1AS		Feapr	phil	P C0	.sa
14 EAA1	TE	NTAT	.RAPE	INL	x s			SYGCILAERLS.	8RP			PG.	.KIYI	.bq
15 EGFL	•••••E*	GGEV.PII	.WRAL	esi	LK	RIYTH	.Q.SOVN	SYGVTVWELITE	GSR	P			.IPAS	.E1
16 ECK	ts	GGK1.PTI	.WTAP	EAT		REFT S	-A.SOVW	SFGIVHWEVRTY	GER	····P·····		E.	.LS##	£۷
17 DPYR1		ISVGC.1PY	.RAPE.	VFX		S+#SE		SYGRVLFELLT.	SDE				.##Pk	.KW
18 CLR .	ST	LVST.R	I.MAPE	¥IL	AL	G-WSQ		SIGCILIETYL.	G		F		.HDSR	.EK
19 CDC285		EVVT.LW	r.aspe	¥LL		ARYST	P . VDIV	SIGTIFAELAT.	KKP	· · · i · · · · · ·	F	¥G.	.05E1	.0
20 CANII-ALPHA	FG	FAGT.PCI	LSPE	VI.R	K O	P-YGK	P.VOLW	ACGVILVILLV.	GYP	P	F	WO.	EDQ	.H
21 C-SEC		GANF.P11		EAA		GRFTI		SEGULITELTER	G	····P·····				.E¥
22 C-BAF		PTCS.V.LL	.MAPE	vik		P-FSF	.Q.SD#1	SYCIVLYELKT.	GEL	P		s., x .	.INNR	.DQ
23 RESK,RUNAN	·····.1E	GARF.PIS		EA1	# ¥		.K.SOTW	SFGILLTEIVTH	5AI			G.	.ATEP	.EY
24 ILSILIKOUSE		SAKF.PIK	.WTAP	EAI	I Y	GTFTI	.K.SDW	SFGILLTEIVTh		· · · P · · · · · · ·	¥	· . P G .		.E¥
25 ARRENVERI	A AMAMAMAMAMA A A AMAMAMAMAMA A A AMAMAMAMAMA A A TILGT A, ET, LIFE. ILL S.A. A TILGT A, ET, LIFE. ILL S.A. A TILGT A, TARE. A A TILGT A, TARE. <t< th=""></t<>													
26 ALLALBOVII	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SVGT.NGY	.BAPE	VLQ	KG	VAYD5	-S. ADWF	SLECHLFKLLR.	5KS	····P·····	····F····		-XKIK	.DEAE
27 BTELSCEPD		FVET.STY	.ISPE	RIR			.K.SDIW	SLG I SI LELAT .	1EL	Pvs	F		.1005igi1	.BL
24 CTGLARDYD	GE	HARL . 1 13	LWTAP	ERL		6+61P	.A.GUIT	5F311L/2815.1		···P·····			-666 A	·····
27 AUFAJAA	·····	MIRI	MTIR I	e.) e.)		1	A COVY	SPULIEUGIALT.	5	·····		Hund I	1608	£ 1
JU AND STUDE				E46		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	OF ADVY	CECT TI AETAL I	s			Ylacin	1582	£ 1
32 APPA EDDSF		FIEL.1	WTAP	ELIZBARDD			A.GDIT	SPGILLOETAL					LSPR	F
33 AUPRILAT		-488.1	APE!	LLS		PLPTTem	ADTY	SPATILOEIAL .	t	P		Yleg1D.	15Pa	E
34 CYGS_STRPU		HARLAL QL	.WTSP	EHL	SQegent	4GSP	.Q.GDIY	SFATTLTELYS .	LQE	P	F	H En	•KOLA	
35 VPSF_YEAST	tityta15	ARAT CT	LAPE	IFN	SKlyqdgi	ann . GRLTR	.E.HOIF	SLGCV I AE IFAe						.\$9
36 IISER,RAT		L	.VTAP.	EN1		ATISQ	.R.GELY	SFSTLADETTL.			F		.LSCR	D Quet
37 ISER.HUTAT		£	.WTAP	EML			. A . COVY	SYCTIAQESIL.	t				LSCR4rs	.EK
38 882.VZVD		LVLS.RGT		EIL	LDyingtg	ItISORVGL		ALGOALLEVILI	5RL	Pgqlpisv	b147	YG.	.HKLS.,	.PD
39 XH2_H5V11	qt.lqe2887	LVG H	. NOPP	ELLvky loner	151.K	NDVGL	.A.VOLY	ALCOTLLELVYS	/ . YV	4ps)gvpv		PG.		
40 RR1_RSV11		PICT.EAY	ASPE.	RSR	Okvpdrpd	sa 12GTKGA	.G.1		•RE	P	¥li		.0GYR	. A It
41 AR2_EBV	RS	SKGR.QLY	.RL	۹¢۹	RE	P-FSI	.A.RDTY		KP	Le listey	124	s .	.ACTA	.LR
42 LEB2.84CCV	ynedni 1986	LGAT.VSN	AGDL	ERL				# 1EWFG .:	KL	•••••	· · · ·¥·····	•••		
43 KEB2_VACCC	ynedmil988	LGAT.VSE	L.NGOLI	EX1	GY	····C-*** · ·		RIEWFG.(· · · P · · · · · ·	¥	•• •• •••••	-KEE	.ss
44 ARGLECOLI	· · · · · · · · · 07	RVV5.445		IAF	AE			AEXAT#	iak v Linp A T		· · · · L · · · · ·	• · P · · • • A •	745	
45 PSP_ROUSE		GIDL.TVP	LAGE	ASL	VL	P#1GK	.T. VD1+	SYSLDL INSLS .	KT	Beqrglps	····	·•••••	SIT	D
46 DHOILBACSU		EGLD.AAN	L	ILA	s	G-FSN	.E. VOLE			···-	dvkvb	gi5Q.	.1706	•••••
47 FLIG.BACSU	iqqekpq.1-		NAL	ILSy		PVQ		-AGQILSEL#~.	• • • • • • •	••••••••••		PE.	-+701	.£¥
48 CALQUADIT			E	VIE		GEFSAd.		TLVEFL		····			·L#¥[· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
NY EUIL PUOLE		LIST.AQL		LVL						···#Lava3e4	iq:12			
SU RUVILELULI		DACC	L.ATAL 1. W. AFNO	AL I VE IAGUNGLIJE	CT	L+FIF			a5P			Y	-ASUE	

52 KRF1_MACCC 53 ULST_RCHVA 54 RRIG.ACIDA SS REAR FOR THE SS REAR FOR THE SS REAR FOR THE SS THE STATE STATE

-A.....D-FDF....S.-. . S ------VE IS.

-ERREL -----

10

1

TT

		56)	571	581	591	60)	611	621	631	641	651	66 1	671
	Subdemain				><-	X]				**	********		••••
	PROSITE		• • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	· · · · · · · · · · ·	••••••••••	••••	· · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · ·	••••••		•••••
	I-ray	A.AAAAA		•••••••	•••••				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••••			
	I-rey	6.66666		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • HB • • • • •		.HBRENH.		••••			•••••
1	CAPE-ALPEA	I. YERIY	.5GX.V		P	F.55D	LK	.D LLRML .	LOVD_LTRRF	.Galkag	VEDIRUHS	¥F	••••
-	96514	5.55L15E.	.5KE.I	·** · · · · · · · · ·		1.606 P. 1997						EX-CW	
د .	114	F.PESLE	.A	. 98		7.088 1.099 - 5		A STAT	LOYA PRESS				W7318618
2	SPRJ BCRI_N	L. 18410F.	I ICH	-LK	0 n=	1.362	40	.0	CUPU.PARKS				•••••
	PYT	T MATIDA.			P 57	9 580	10	D WECC	TARA TARAL		TOFT LAND		RE LOAD TA
.,	PEC-31 PHA	1.50518	.F	.57	. P 15	1.585		S. ICKGI		Geener	FROVEFUL	FF-	
	POGF8-7	F.YNATHr.	.GYR.#			A.SDE		.F 180KC.	WFFL FEIRP	P	ESOLVIL-	-1	
	P852	L.SATVD	.GPP.P	.RL		F.55D		.DFVSLC.	LORI PERRP	T	YAALTENP		
10	1381	S.RERVO	.1	.1		C.AES		.CLLORN.	THPY. VDCRP		TOPLLARP	Ell-if	
11	NCR2	L.REIAK	.LLG.P	.PDartittat	37	PD	GI	.DLLMRI.	LVYE.POORL		PREILAND		iraddt))
12	115.2	L.XFVHD	.GGT.L	.DQ	PD¥.	C.PER		.D. LARNC.	VOFT.PARP		FLEIVHL-		
13	NSVR	1-781IRqa	qVHV.D	EFsphpesri		DID	VE	.YLVCKA.	LTFD.GALRP	.s		LF	
14	ERKI	L.NHILG	.ILC.S	PSqedlaci	31PR.:	S. DSK		LLDRK	LTFE.PRART		VEEALANP		yydpti49
15	EGFR	S. SILER.	.GEA.L	.PQ		c.ttp		.sINVRC.	WEID.ADSRP		FRELITE-		
16	ECI	R.RAIND	.GFR.L		PND.	C.PSA		.QLANGC.	YOUE.RARRP		FADIWSI-	-L-	
17	DPYR 1	A.MLAATe.	.SYR.P	.P1	PLT.	T.SSR	¥K	.E. ILTOC.	WOSK.POSRP	. t	FROIIVH-	L+ke	medagy.,
38	CLK	L.ARRER	.1LS.P	.LPkhniqkts	r48			.DLIGRN.	LEYD.PARKS		LREALRHP	FF-yp	1216
19	CDC285	L.FRIFR	.ALG.T	. Pline vope ve	25	L.OEW	GL	.D11.5KH.	LIYD.PARKI	.s	GRNALBUP	YF	.
20	CANII-ALPHA	L.YQQIK	.AGA.7	. DF	PspewDT.	¥.TPE		.D. LINAR.	LTIP.PSRRI		AAEALAHP		•••••
21	C-SRC	1.DOVER	.GYR.N	.90	PPE.	C.PES	LH	.DLHCQC.	VARE. PEERP		FEYLGAF-	1	••••
22	C-RAF	IIFNVGL	.GTA.5	.POlskly	-	C.PRA		.R. LVADC.	VARY . REEAP		FPQILSS-		
23	KLSILIVIIII	I.QULER	.GYR.K	.VR	PDN.0	C.PEE	LY	.QLBRLC.	VKER.PEDRP	•T • • • • • • • • •	FDYLASV-	-L-ed	fitate15
24	RESILIONSE	LONGER	.GYR.8	.VR		C.PEE	LY	.BLNHLC.	WRER.PEDRP		FDYLASY-	···-L-def	litate15
25	RARD, ADJAN	I.DRATL	.TNA.V.	E	P95.1	F.SPE	LX	.SLLEGL.	LORD . VIIBRL	.Gelgrg	AQEVRESP	FF-ts	lduqa236
26	ARKB_BOVIN	I.DRATL	.TNA.V	.51	PDS	F.SPE	LR	.5U.ECL.	LORD . WHERL	.5clgrg	AQEVRESP	FF-rs:	lduqm236
27	BYL1_SCHPO	L.RCIVO	.EEP.P.	.aL	P\$\$.1	F.PED	LR	.LFVDAC.	LHKD.PTLRA	.5	POQLCANP	YF-qq	Llminw20
28	CYGR_ARBPU	1. ARVSR	.GEV.P	.PYrpvlaava	·····EA./	A.PDC		.TAIRAC.	WED PHERP		IIEVRTH-	L-ap	lqkg1150
54	ARPALIAT	1. ERVTR	.GEQ.P	.PFrpsmdlqs	: <u>ti</u> ++	•.•EE		.QLRORC.	VAED . POERP		FOOINLA-	L-rh:	faken260
30	AMPA_KUNAN	I.ERVTR	.GEQ.P	PFzpslalqs	·········	EE		.L. LRQLC.	WAED.PQENP	.P	FOQIRLT-	L-sk:	taren260
31	ANDELKONAR	V.QXVRJ	.GQR.P.	. Wrpsidrig	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	L. NEE	LV	.LLNEXC.	VACD.PAEDUP	.9	FCOIKCF-	····*I-171	fakeg761
32	ANPALAQUSE	I.ERVIR	.GEQ.F	Propandlos	21	•.**E		.GLAQKC.	WALD .FULKP	·F		····-L-787	TEREN260
33	ABPOLICA (V.QAVES	.uQK.P	. IFFPSIarts		L.#tt		.L. Later.	VAUD-PIERP				THE 8261
34	CTGS_SIRPU	I.GLVKS	EV.P			A .PDC			THE PAULT				Idit Trae
30	W757_1LIS]	L.P.KTADB.	-5TU.V.	This and 1414		►.519	LK	I IVEC	TATD'LOUISHIT		PRETCCT-	rg1+1-paj	191 1155
30	BODJARI	I.PRVER	.316.1	Reduced 141	13*			.LLUADC.	VEED PERSO		FRATES-		11g11328
37	STRUCTURE STRUCTURE	LIFRIDA		.wr ispaiii	Builder -	£	1 Numati	-L			BRCIBRI-		11311220
14	112 HEULT		E 01 C	BR4.1.11.				W TWChi		-	ARIJERAL-		etalles
44	ART HCWI1	TENTE	.A. BC T	101	11			C. LUARC	FRR. PANNS	<	1 573 WDF-	-E-ak	1
41	SR2.FRY	1.01051	.G	.LYrimhlada	38	•		.EVLSOI	VILLE . LORGE	Tacrespow	49VAFLLADS		derre
42	RIB2.VICCY	1.KVIKD.	.R	. Alfiatted	15	PLE	L¥	.R. YIELY.	TLD.TSOTP			-F-io	4
43	REB2.VACCC	1.89180	.1	ART is tited		PLE	LV	.RYIELV.	TLD.YSOTP			F-iq	4
44	AK3_ECOL1	P. 17965	.SRD.P	Arrtlych.	79TL.	L.105		.I. ELSAL.	CRVE.VEE		GLALVAL-	l-m	distac57
45	PSP_BOYSE	I.SISLL	.GRL	.Plinsildge	s	L.TST	15	.TYLOWF.	LC		LOTTLST-	L-ap	svlqg121
46	DROR_BACSP	S.FSKRL	.GYT.M	.XLigiaqrd	56	•PT	AT	.S. WSDL.	YAVN-RNNRL	.Gvtgaežvg	17750[7400	FL-ril	hvkdev#2
47	FLIG,BACSU	A.RETAV	.IDA.1		. .	SPE		EVER1.	LEQX-LSSAF	Tqdytqtgg	IEAVVEV-	1-ag	Vdrgt13D
49	CALQUANTI	P.VELIE	.GEReL	.q		I . EDE	IK	LIGTF.	RERD-SENYR		FREAMEE-	F-hp	jipff197
49	NUI KLPODAN	I.FF165	.VAE.TI	RA	PFD.1	L.AEA	E 5	.ELVSGF.	NTER. AA	. -		F-tf	laeyg137
50	REVA_ECOL 1	A.RLYAL	.GYX			PQE		. L NVSKI.	ARPD.AS	.s	ETLIAEA-	L-TA	41
51	V151_HSV6V	¥.£KLT	.DFL.D	.ER	EF.I	G.SRD	UF	.EasFLER	SR.LTRIQ	.P	IREGLAS-	L-qs	eygek35
52	KRF 1, VACCC	l.MRKIKo.	.NFK.V	. Klinwyy	···-··		FH	.FFV#TL.	LATY.PEIER	.Die	FSTALEE-	F-im	ctktdc41
53	UL97_HCRVA	L.DEVRS	.GTE.A		F	A.GAA	Ck	.ALENGR.	LTX	. -	CSDACLL-	I-la	topsyg97
54	RRAG_ACIBA	L.TETRV	.EER.L	.VFøbgditde	25AG.	L.ADE	F¥	.DisFVERC.	LAED.ASE	•7	ARIFUR-	L-ID	trpdar 18
55	RAB.ECOLI	G-DFSLD	.#	.VEgevverid	28EE.	F.EPS	LQe	.RLVAQT.	GIAD.PDRRR	.Lq	FHILLDE-	1-2-2.	•••••
56	XCPB_BOVIN	A.FDIQD	.LSN,Y		PFY.I	PKSPQ	SK	.DLIKEAI	LDND.FNKM.	.E	LSOIGEI-	- V- dcı	Mypve 162
57	EGFR_CHICK	Lempilpe.	.SR.P	LTvldfass1	9351.1	L.PVA	Flg	.DAFTKT.	LPLD.PKK-L	.Ovfrt	VIEISCF-	L-li	qevpd291
58	KAA1_ECOL1	L. AQAQSIM	.RNG.L	. VD		F.DDEragup	weq¥¥	.XEXHXL.	LPFS.PDS-	•••••••	 TALIC	05-al	dalifd72
59	ROTALDIONE	B. COLDL	.DLG.L	PLEPPEEPE	;39PC.I	L.PLS		.#	pPAERH			L-gr	TV#11437
60	KPCG_RUXAN	F.VFMLK	.PGD.V	.E	k	L.SVE			YDYD.RTS R I	.Dinganutg	VSELLRAP		yilisq46

A (cont)

Fig. 11.

negatives (41 to 43, 51 to 53) of which the first three fall immediately below our kinase cutoff. For PROFILESEARCH, there are 12 false negatives (23 to 26, 35, 38 to 41, 51 to 53) but it should be recalled that eight of these (those indicated by \$ in Fig. 11B) do not appear in the results obtained from searching SWISS-PROT 25 provided to us by M. Gribskov (personal communication). We suspect that at least four (23 to 26) would be correctly classified as kinases by PROFILESEARCH leaving an estimate of three to eight false negatives. In the case of PROSITE, using our assumption of a kinase to be a true positive (T) sequence for any one of the three patterns, there are three false negatives (39, 42 to 43). However, the actual performance of the PROSITE patterns themselves is much worse; scans of SWISS-PROT 22 with each of the patterns PROTEIN_KINASE_ATP, PROTEIN_ KINASE_ST and PROTEIN_KINASE_TYR individually yield 40, 2 and 3 false negatives, respectively.

The difficulty in quantifying the precise number of false positives and false negatives produced by the database discrimination tests may be illustrated by employing an alternative mechanism for assessing the number of false negatives. If simply

ID	Length	NLL-score	Z-score	HMM	PROFILE-	Keyword	PI	ROSIT	ГЕ
	Ŭ				SEARCH		A	B1	B2
23 KLSK_HUMAN	509	1188.032	48.056	+	-\$	+	T	-	T
24 KLSK_MOUSE	509	1193.879	47.376	+	-\$	+	T	-	Т
25 ARKB_HUMAN	689	1826.919	31.781	+	-\$	+	*	*	•
26 ARKB_BOVIN	689	1827.514	31.720	+	-\$	+	*	*	-
27 BYR1_SCHPO	340	808.153	27.540	+	·+	-	N	T	-
28 CYGR_ARBPU	986	2839.392	22.121	+	+	-	-%	-	-
29 ANPA_RAT	1057	3062.107	21.418	+	+	-	-%	-	•
30 ANPA_HUMAN	1061	3072.615	21.390	+	+	-	-%	-	-
31 NPB_HUMAN	1047	3033.232	21.220	+	+	-	-%	-	
32 ANPA_MOUSE	1057	3065.181	21.042	+	+	-	-%	-	-
33 ANPB_RAT	1047	3038.053	20.633	+	+		-%	-	-
34 CYGS_STRPU	1125	3277.621	18.745	+	+	-	-%	-	-
35 VPSF_YEAST	1454	4263.173	17.896	+	-	+	N	T	-
36 HSER_RAT	1075	3143.529	17.681	+	-	-	-%	•	- 1
37 HSER_HUMAN	1073	3139.039	17.552	+	-	-	-%	-	-
38 KR2_VZVD	510	1521.597	9.615	+	-	+	N	T	-
39 KR2_HSV11	518	1548.949	9.042	+	-	+	N	1	-
40 KR1_HSVI1	230	710.448	6.773	+	-\$	+	N	Ť	•
41 KR2_EBV	455	1393.761	4.935	-	-	+	T	•	T
42 KRB2_VACCV	283	880.650	4.848	-	+	+	N	N	•
43 KRB2_VACCC	283	880.753	4.838	-	+	+	N	N	-
44 AK3_ECOLI	449	1385.412	3.900	-	-	-	-	-	•
45 PSP_MOUSE	235	754.545	3.804	-	-	-		•	•
46 DHOM_BACSU	433	1340.413	3.706		-	-		•	-
47 FLIG_BACSU	338	1055.096	3.699	-	-	-	-	-	-
48 CALQ_RABIT	395	1229.120	3.487	-	-	-	-	•	-
49 NUIM_PODAN	368	1149.759	3.415	-	-	-		-	-
50 RUVA_ECOLI	203	667.519	3.413	-	-	-	-	-	-
51 U15R_HSV6U	562	1728.770	3.171	-	-\$	+	Ť	•	Т
52 KRF1_VACCC	439	1366.011	2.900		-\$	+	N	T	•
53 UL97_HCMVA	707	2165.296	2.854	-	-\$	+	N	•	Τ
54 KKA6_ACIBA	259	838.469	2.370	-	-	-	-	-	Т
55 KKA8_ECOLI	271	885.548	1.182	-	-	-	-	-	T
56 KGPB_BOVIN	293	953.735	0.684	-	-	+	P	Р	-
57 EGFR_CHICK	703	2179.703	0.065	-	-	+	P	-	Ρ
58 KKALECOLI	271	902.461	-0.467	-	-	•	-	Т	-
59 KDTK_DROME	753	2334.760	-0.523	-	-	+	N	-	N
60 KPCG_HUMAN	318	1051.016	-1.486	-	-	+	P	P	-

в

Figure 11. A. Multiple sequence alignment generated by our kinase HMM of some of the sequences used to train the HMM (1 to 22) and test sequences from the SWISS-PROT 22 database (23 to 60) (see Results section (b)). Numerals appearing in the alignments indicate the number of amino acids to be inserted at that point, otherwise the notation follows the convention of Fig. 5. In Subdomain, the Roman numerals and * refer to the subdomains and residues conserved across 75 serine/threonine kinases given by Hanks & Quinn (1991). A and B in PROSITE refer to the ATP binding and catalytic regions, respectively, used to create 2 different signature patterns for kinases. X-ray identifies the location of the α -helices AA-AI and β -strands B1-B9 (read vertically) derived from the 2.7 Å crystal structure of the catalytic subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase (sequence 1) (Knighton et al., 1991). Sequences 1 to 22 are representative kinases taken from the March 1992 Protein Kinase Catalytic Domain Database (Hanks & Quinn, 1991). These are: CAPK-ALPHA, cAMP-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit, α -form: WEE1 + . reduced size at division mutant wild-type allele gene product; TIK, mouse serine/threonine kinase; SPK1. S. cerevisiae kinase cloned with anti-p-Tyr antibodies; RSK1-N, amino domain of type 1 ribosomal protein S6 kinase; PYT, putative serine/threonine kinase cloned with anti-p-Tyr antibodies; PKC-ALPHA, protein kinase C, a form; PDGFR-B, platelet-derived growth factor receptor B type; PBS2, polymix in B antibiotic resistance gene product: MIK1, S. pombe mikl acts redundantly with weel+; MCK1, S. cerevisiae protein kinase; INS.R. insulin receptor: HSVK. Herpes simplex virus-US3 gene product; ERK1, rat insulin-stimulated protein kinase; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor (cellular homolog of v-erbB); ECK, receptor-like tyrosine kinase detected in epithelial cells; DPYK1. developmentally regulated tyrosine kinase in D. discoideum; CLK, mouse serine/threonine/tyrosine kinase; CDC2HS. human functional homolog of yeast cdc2+/CDC28; CAMII-ALPHA, calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II, x-subunit; C-SRC, cellular homolog of v-src; and C-RAF, cellular homolog of v-raf/mil. Sequences 2 to 4, 6. 10, 11, 14. 17 and 18 are the candidate dual-specificity protein kinases as defined by Lindberg et al. (1992). Sequences 23 to 40 are the SWISS-PROT 22 sequences designated as kinases by our HMM (Z-score >6.0) but not by all 3 other methods, PROSITE. PROFILESEARCH and the keyword search. Sequences 41 to 50 are the top 10 sequences below our cutoff of 60 and 41 to 43 and 51 to 60 are sequences that were not classified as kinases by the HMM but were so by one or more (but not all) of the 3 other methods. Note that sequences identified as kinases by all 4 methods are not shown. All sequences that are less than 200 residues in length

the number of sequences denoted as kinases only by all three other methods is evaluated, the number of false negatives for each of the techniques differ from the more detailed analysis: two for the HMM (42 to 43), seven for PROFILESEARCH (23 to 26, 35, 38, 40) and none for PROSITE (ignoring known false negatives as above). This general problem is further highlighted by the guanylyl cyclases (indicated by % in Fig. 11B). If the definition of a kinase is based upon function and not possession of particular sequence patterns, then the guanylyl cyclases are the only false positives for both the HMM and PROFILESEARCH. The PROSITE patterns PROTEIN_KINASE_ATP, PROTEIN_ PROTEIN_KINASE_TYR KINASE_ST and produce eight, none and two false positives, respectively, giving some indication of the actual **PROSITE** performance.

Overall, both the HMM and PROFILESEARCH appear to perform generally better than PROSITE in the discrimination tests, with the HMM possibly having a slight advantage over PROFILE-SEARCH.

The HMM database search did not suggest any new putative kinases in SWISS-PROT 22. However, a comparative examination of the HMM produced multiple sequence alignment and the crystal structure of the catalytic subunit of cAMPdependent protein kinase (Knighton et al., 1991) (sequence 1), a template for the protein kinase family, yields insights into the conserved regions and their functions in kinases of unknown structure. Figure 11A displays the location of secondary structure elements obtained from this crystal structure. An invariant Asp in subdomain VIb (Asp166 in Knighton et al., 1991) that is proposed to be the catalytic base is known to diverge in guanylyl cyclases (28 to 34, 36 to 37) even though the immediate region is highly conserved (Garbers, 1992). Our results indicate that other invariant residues appear to be replaced as well. In the sea urchin spermatozoan cell-surface receptor for the chemotactic peptide "resact" (sequences 28 and 34), a Lys

in subdomain II (Lys72) that forms part of the ATP α - and β -phosphate binding site is changed to His. The heat-stable entertoxin receptor of rat (36) replaces an Asp in subdomain IX (Asp200) that contributes directly to stabilization of the catalytic loop by Glu. Yeast VPS15 (sequence 35), a probable serine/threonine kinase that is autophosphorylated, lacks many of the residues in subdomain I. In addition. a conserved ion-pair that stabilizes ATP (Glu91-Lys72) would be disrupted in VPS15 because the Glu in subdomain III is altered to Arg resulting in the apposition of two positively charged residues. In the putative B12 kinases of two strains of vaccinia virus (42 to 43), the proposed Asp catalytic base is replaced by Lys (cf. guanylyl cyclases). This is accompanied by a further change in the "general" sequence of the catalytic loop: the normally positively charged residue at n+2 has been altered to Glu. In general, all the sequences below our cutoff and the last one above it (40 to 60) appear to lack α -helix F (see X-ray in Fig. 11A). The functional and or structural consequences of these modifications on any kinase activity are not clear.

(c) EF-hand experiments

For these experiments we used the June 1992 database of EF-hand sequences maintained by Kretsinger and co-workers (Nakayama et al., 1992). Sequences in this database are proteins containing one or more copies of the EF-hand motif, a 29³ residue structure present in cytosolic calcium-modulated proteins (Nakayama et al., 1992; Persechini et al., 1989; Moncrief et al., 1990). These proteins bind the second messenger calcium and in their active form function as enzymes or regulate other enzymes and structural proteins. The motif consists of an α -helix, a loop binding a Ca²⁺ followed by a second helix. Although a number of proteins possess the EF-hand motif, some of these regions have lost their calcium-binding property.

For our training set, we extracted the EF-hand structures from each of the 242 sequences in the

have been removed. B. Details on sequences 23 to 60 shown in the alignment (arranged in order of decreasing Z-score). NLL-score and Z-score are measures of how well the kinase HMM fits these SWISS-PROT 22 test sequence that were not present in the training set (see Results section (b) for more details). In HMM, PROFILESEARCH and Keyword, + denotes sequences that are classified as containing a kinase domain and - those that do not. For PROFILESEARCH, -\$ identifies sequences that do not appear in the results obtained from searching SWISS-PROT 25 (not 22 as in HMM, Keyword and PROSITE) provided to us by M. Gribskov (personal communication). Two PROSITE signature patterns for eucaryotic protein kinases have been derived and these are labeled A and B in the alignment. A is the region believed to be involved in ATP binding (PROSITE entry PROTEIN_KINASE_ATP) while B1 and B2 indicate the area important for catalytic activity in serine/threonine kinases (PROTEIN_KINASE_ST) and tyrosine kinases (PROTEIN_KINASE_TYR), respectively. In all instances, T signifies a true positive; N a false negative (a sequence which belongs to the set under consideration but which is not picked up by the pattern); P a "potential" hit (a sequence that belongs to the set but which is not picked up because the region that contains the pattern is not yet available in the data bank, i.e. a partial sequence); and ? an unknown (a sequence which possibly could belong to the set). * Indicates SWISS-PROT files which contain a cross reference to the specified PROSITE pattern, but these PROSITE entries do not contain a corresponding pointer to the SWISS-PROT file. - Signifies sequences that do not satisfy the kinase patterns and % denotes particulate forms of guanylyl cyclase receptors which contain an intracellular protein kinase-like domain but which have not been shown to possess kinase activity to date (reviewed by Garbers, 1992).

database. obtaining 888 EF-hand motifs having an average length of 29. For our first experiment we have a solution of the second		1522 Hidden	Markov Models	
		database. obtaining 885 EF-hand motifs having a average length of 29. For our first experiment of the incident of the second	an 100 we	
	i			
	-			
	<u>e</u>			
	-			
	•	к	Г	
	·			

		1	11	21	31	41	\$1	61	71
	Structure	• • • • • • • • •	. H X . NKNN	H.K.N		.uu.	LLLL	N.KKKENNE.	
	PROSITE						*****.*	•	
	Ca-binding	· · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • <i>•</i> • • • • • • •		.	¥ Z	y.x		
1	CANNS	• • • • • • • • • •	.EF.REAF	S.L.F	p	. KD GDG .	TITT	L.CTVNRSL-	
2	AACTEG	· · · · · · · · · ·	.EF.RASF	8.8.5	D	.RR	RRDCE.D	F.RACLISS-	
3	VISININ		.EL.SRWY	E.G.F	Qr	.QC50G.	RIRCD.E	F.ERIYGEF-	
4	TPP24CF		.GL.ARFF	R.R.L		.RD	SLDS K.E	L.QRGLAEL-	
5	TPHUCS	· · · · · · · · · ·	.EF. KAAF	D.R.F	D	. AD GGG .	D15VR.E	L.GTVARAL-	
6	TPAFI	•••••	.AL.QKAF	D.S.F	D	.TD SKG .	FITPE.T	V.CIILRAR-	
7	TCBP25	•••••	.VA.RRIF	E.N.Y	.	.KG	RIEN T.O	C. VPRITEA-	
6	SPEC24	· · · · · · · · · ·	.LF.KSSF	K.S.E	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.TDCDG.	KITSE.E	L.RAAFRSI-	
9	SCBPBL:		.K.I.KFTF	D.F.F1	D	.YN	STQVE.D	F. EEN IRRY-	
10	GOIDFR	•••••	.E L.RBAF	B.M.F	· · · ·	. 10 GDG .	Q175R.E	1.RSVAXSL-	
31	ROHSCE	· · · · <i>· · ·</i> · · · ·	.EF.REAF	1.1.8,	b	.QNRDG.	FIDE	L. ROTFAAL-	
12	ROHSAL	····	.EF.KEAF	L.L.F	· · · · ·	.STGDS.	K) IL S.Q	V.GDVLRAL-	
33	LPSIA	•••••	.AL.XQEF	1.Da7	····b···	.TR KDG .	TVSC A.E	1.VALNEWT-	
н	LAVS	• · • • • • • • • •	.AL.VADF	L.R.1	D	.TX SNG.	TLSR R.E	F.RENFVRL-	
	and the second sec								

	13 LPSIA	•	
	14 LAVI		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		V/4	
.1			

18 CESE 19 CDPR 20 CDC31 21 CALPURS 22 CALCIB 23 CALBRGGQ...F.FEIWN.H.Y.......D.....SD.....SB.....K.EL.QWFIQEL-..... 24 CALICE 25 BCBS 26 AE0AV1 27 158 28 CALILASPHI 29 11.23.NUTAB 30 BLEL BARTT 31 RLEV.RURAN aphtpep1300..F.VEGLR.V.F.....D....RE...GMG.TVMG....A.EL.RHVLATL-gerliede35 32 RLEC.CHICK ppkkpep1290..F.VEGLR.V.F......D....RE...GBG.TVHG....A.EL.RHVLBTL-geriteer35 33 RLEV, RAT 34 BLELCHICK 35 BLELAAT 36 MLEL.MOUSE 37 BLEF, NORAH 38 HLEF, BAT 39 HLEF_ROUSE pphkpep1200...F.VEGLE.W.F.....D....RE...SHG.TVHG....A.EL.MHVLATL-gekmesse35 10 BLEX,CHICK mplkkpd1210..F.VEGLE.V.F.....D....KE...GHG.LVHG....A.EL.AHVLVIL-gehatese35 41 BLES, HURAN sfsadqia85D...F.VEGLE.V.F......D.....RE....GNG.TVRG.....A.EL.RHVLATL-getmteer35 42 PLEY,BURAR 43 BLES BABIT afsadqja#5D..F.VEGLR.V.F.....D....RE...GHG.TVHG....A.EL.RHVLATL-gekakeve35 44 BLES.BAT 45 RLEA,ROUSE 46 BLEACHICK #1spdqid85D...F.VEGLB.V.F...........D.....RE....GHG.TVAG....A.EL.MHVLATL-gekmtvee35

47 AACT_RUEAR

	79 CAP2_NURIS ========5757C.NINVD.N.L
	80 ADCLPIG makerg11571L.QEMMA.E.ID
	8) SCPLJEISP ayandar 103F1.ANQFK.A.1
	83 IFTLARATE marika-1695
	84 SCP1_BALLA gladdat10581.PFLFR.G.1
	06 P1P3LAT mdsgrdd 143WI.NSCLR.K.A
	87 ANCT_CRICK makhydy786E.F.ANINS.1.VDPTRUG.VYTFQ.AF.IDFRSHE-tadzdrad73
.	
The second se	
<u> </u>	
E.,,,	
7	
<u> </u>	
•	
1	
(1) (<u>1</u>	•
n 6 s	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
à	

				-				
ID	Length	NLL-score	Z-score	НММ	PRÒFILE	SEARCH	Keyword	Prosite
					Gribskov	нмм		
28 CALM_ASPNI	148	398.961	12.975	+	•		+	т
29 MLEI_HUMAN	193	542.924	11.662	+	+	+	-	%
30 MLELRABIT	191	537.011	11.661	+	+	+		%
31 MLEV_HUMAN	194	546.027	11.631	+	+	+	-	%
32 MLEC_CHICK	193	543.095	11.605	+	+	· +	•	%
33 MLEV_RAT	199	561.007	11.561	+	+	+	•	%
34 MLELCHICK	190	534.042	11.515	+	+	+	-	%
35 MLELRAT	188	528.051	11.262	+	+	+	•	%
36 MLE1_MOUSE	187	525.056	11.224	+	+	+	-	%
37 MLEF_HUMAN	196	554.316	11.005	+	+	+	-	%
38 MLEF_RAT	192	542.332	10.892	+	+	+	•	%
39 MLEF_MOUSE	192	542.332	10.892	+	+	+		%
40 MLEX_CHICK	185	521.797	10.342	+	+	+	•	%
41 MLE3_HUMAN	149	411.100	10.201	+	+	+	•	%
42 MLEY_HUMAN	208	588.847	10.194	+	+	+	•	%
43 MLE3_RABIT	149	411.179	10.177	+	+	+	-	%
44 MLE3_RAT	149	411.207	10.169	+	+	+		%
45 MLE3_MOUSE	149	411.208	10.169	+	+	+	•	%
46 MLE3_CHICK	149	411.206	10.169	+	+.	+		%
47 AACT_HUMAN	892	2642.237	9.957	+		+	+	Т
48 MLE_HALRO	151	418.497	9.918	+	+	+	•	%
49 MLES_HUMAN	151	418.627	9.879	+	+	+	•	%
50 MLEN_HUMAN	151	418.627	9.879	+	+	+	•	%
51 MLEN_CHICK	150	415.631	9.798	+	+	+		%
52 MLEM_CHICK	150	415.631	9.798	+	•	•	•	%
53 MLEG_HUMAN	94	248.725	9.735	+	. +	+	-	%
54 MLE_PATYE	156	433.703	9.629	+	+	+	•	%
55 MLE_AEQIR	156	433.703	9.629	+	+	+	•	%
56 AACT_DROME	895	2653.286	9.130	+	•	+	+	T
57 RECO_CHICK	192	548.396	8.848	+	-	-	+	Т
58 MLE_DICDI	166	465.170	8.834	+	+	+	•	Ţ
59 SPCA_DROME	2415	7205.568	8.787	+	•	+	+	Т
60 MLR_DICDI	161	451.967	8.678	+	+	+	+	-
61 MLE_TODPA	159	446.406	8.616	+	+	+		%
62 SPCN_CHICK	2477	7392.895	8.157	+		+	-	Т
63 CLIL_MOUSE	96	263.095	7.516	+	+	+	•	%
64 AACS_CHICK	897	2663.548	7.446	+		-	+	•
65 CL1L_RAT	94	257.103	7.423	+	+	+		%
66 LAVI_PHYPO	355	1039.236	7.298	+	-	+	•	Т

Т

Ψ

ID	Length	NLL-score	Z-score	нмм	PROFILE	SEARCH	Keyword	Prosite
					Gribskov	нмм		
81 SCPA_PENSP	192	556.636	6.071	+	•	+	+	Т
82 SCPB_PENSP	192	557.071	5.924	+	•	+	+	Т
83 IPYR_ARATH	263	769.241	5.909	+	-	•		
84 SCPLBRALA	185	535.787	5.827	+	•	+	+	Ť
85 SCP2_BRALA	185	535.816	5.818	+		+	+	Т
86 PIP3_RAT	756	2244.255	5.713	+	•	•	•	?
87 AACT_CHICK	888	2641.411	5.684	+	•	-	+	N
88 CAB_MOUSE	101	284.695	5.589	+	•	-	+	•
89 TEGU_SCHMA	190	552.242	5.469	+	•	+	•	?
90 CAB_RAT	101	285.488	5.369	+	•	-	-	•
91 G19P_HUMAN	527	1560.198	5.330	+	•	-	-	т
92 TCH2_ARATH	45	116.235	5.321	+	•	•	+	т
93 KDGL_HUMAN	735	2182.343	5.301	+	•	•	+	Ť
94 PIP3_BOVIN	695	2063.206	5.034	+	•	•	-	?
95 CALM_LYTPI	30	67.341	4.942	+	•	•	+	Р
96 CAP1_HUMAN	714	2120.342	4.924	+	•	+	+	т
97 CICL_CYPCA	1852	5530.321	4.714	-	+	-	-	-
98 GUNF_CLOTM	739	2196.618	4.602			•	•	?
99 CICLRABIT	1873	5593.640	4.550	•	+	•	•	•
100 V57A_BPT4	80	224.359	4.470	-	•	•	-	-
101 CALG_CHICK	65	178.908	4.438	-	+	+	+	т
102 NIFH_NOSCO	86	243.556	4.347	-		-	•	•
103 ARFL_DROME	180	524.609	4.300	-	•	-	-	-
104 AROA_KLEPN	427	1264.280	4.296	-	•	-	-	
105 RELL.HUMAN	185	540.676	4.249	-	•		-	-
106 HIL.BOVIN	104	298.227	4.240	-	•	•	•	•
107 YCSX_CHLPY	110	316.022	4.210	-	•	-	-	
108 DP3X_ECOLI	643	1910.667	4.186	-	•	-	•	•
109 AROA_SALTY	427	1264.760	4.130	•	•	-	-	•
110 ANX1_CAVCU	346	1022.514	4.043		•	•	-	•
111 CICC_RAT	2169	6481.468	4.011	•	+		•	•
112 CICC_RABIT	2171	6487.460	4.010	•	+	• .	•	•
113 LACA_LACLA	141	407.967	3.986	•		-	-	
114 AROA_BORPE	442	1310.475	3.985	-	-	•	•	
115 AROA_SALTI	427	1265.295	3.945	•	•		-	•
116 AROA_SALGL	427	1265.295	3.945	-	•	-	-	-
117 CAP1_CHICK	704	2093.590	3.888	•	•	•	+	T
144 88 44 84 44 6 1								

./

-1

There is considerable overlap between this training set and the EF-hand motifs found in SWISS-PROT 22, so in order to provide some clearer cross validation of our results we also did another series of experiments. In these experiments, models were estimated using training sets consisting of different numbers of randomly chosen EF-hand sequences from the database of 885 EF-hand sequences. For training sets consisting of 5, 10, and 20 random EF-hand sequences, 15 models were estimated, each using a different randomly chosen training set. For training sets consisting of 40, 80, 100, 200, and 400 random EF-hand sequences, five models were estimated. In all, 70 models were estimated. A model's performance after training was gauged on how well







Figure 15. EF-hand database search false positives for models trained with 5. 10, 20, 40, 80, 100, 200, 400 and 885 sequences.

appears substantially when the training set size reaches about 100 sequences.

4. Discussion

A new method to model protein families using hidden Markov models has been introduced. The method is capable of tapping into the tremendous might even be lowered further. However, there will be a limit on how small the number of available sequences can be if one hopes to obtain a reasonable model starting from a *tabula rasa*.

We believe that the answer to the problem of small training sets is to add more prior knowledge into the training process. One way to do this is by starting with a better initial model. We have performed several experiments in which we have started with a model obtained from a small set of aligned sequences, and then trained the model further using a larger set of unaligned sequences. These will be reported in a future paper. We find that this technique can often give better results. This also suggests that one application of HMMs may be in maintaining multiple alignments as the number of sequences in the alignment grows. Each time new sequences are added to a dataset of homologous sequences, we can begin with the HMM based on the alignment of the previous set of sequences, train it with the larger dataset that includes the new sequences, and then create a new multiple alignment for the larger dataset from this HMM. Not only will the new sequences be included in the new alignment, but the alignment of the old sequences may be improved by utilizing the statistical information present in the larger dataset.†

Another way to add more prior knowledge into the training process is to use a more sophisticated Bayesian prior. We are currently exploring the use of a prior on the probability distribution over the amino acids in a match state of the model consisting of a mixture of Dirichlet priors (Brown *et al.*, 1993). Using such a prior is like "soft-tying" the distribu-



probabilities between amino acids into account. It also remains to be seen whether or not incorporating any of these extensions into the HMM approach will yield even better results.

We also believe that some of the errors made by our HMM models are due to the fact that these models are suboptimal, in the sense that their NLL-scores are not as low as they could be. This is because the EM procedure is not guaranteed to find the globally optimal model for a given training set. In other experiments, reported by Haussler et al. (1993), we trained an HMM for globins beginning with a model derived from the Bashford et al. (1987) alignment, and obtained a slightly lower NLL-score than any model from our experiments using EM on unaligned training sequences (208 compared to 2103). Hence, we know that EM is not locating the globally optimal model in this case. An important open problem is to find a reliable way to prevent EM from getting stuck and returning a suboptimal solution

Another issue is the adequacy of the hidden Markov model itself as a statistical model of the sequence variation within a protein family. ('learly an HMM provides at best a "first order" model of sequence variation. There are many kinds of interactions in proteins that are not easily modeled by HMMs. for example, pairwise correlations between amino acid distributions in positions that are widely separated in the primary sequence, but close in the three-dimensional structure (see e.g. Klinger & Brutlag (1993)). It would be very valuable to have more general models that incorporate such interactions while still remaining computationally tractable. We are currently exploring the potential of one model class of this type to canture the baseof the PROSITE-indexed domains in a single long protein, using the Viterbi algorithm. The remaining portions of the sequence could be marked as "unknown". While this would not constitute a complete parse of the sequence, it would be very useful in providing some automatic annotation of new sequences, which is of critical importance as the rate of growth of the protein databases continues to accelerate. A related approach to protein annotation is given by Stultz *et al.* (1993), and a related HMM-based DNA parser for *E. coli* is described by Krogh *et al.* (1993b).

A comparative examination of the HMM produced kinase multiple sequence alignment and the crystal structure of the catalytic subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase (Knighton et al., 1991) indicates a number of conserved residues in kinases of unknown structure that may be suitable for further experimental study (see Results section (b)). Results from our database discrimination tests suggest the presence of an EF-hand calcium-binding motif in a highly conserved and evolutionary preserved putative intracellular region of 155 residues in the x-l subunit of L-type calcium channels which play an important role in excitationcontraction coupling (see Results section (c)). This region has been suggested to contain the functional domains that are typical or essential for all L-type calcium channels regardless of whether they couple to rvanodine receptors, conduct ions or both. Our EF-hand HMM indicates the following proteins may also possess this motif: chicken myosin light chain alkali (smooth muscle), bovine calpactain I light chain. Arabidopsis thaliana inorganic pyrophosphatase. rat placental calcium-binding protein and rat and bovine]-phosphatidvlinositol-4.5-bisphosphate



References

- Abe. N. & Warmuth. M. (1990). On the computational complexity of approximating distributions by probabilistic automata. In Proceedings of the 3rd Workshop on Computational Learning Theory, pp. 52-66. Morgan Kaufmann, Rochester. NY.
- Allison. L., Wallace, C. S. & Yee, C. N. (1992). Finite-state models in the alignment of macromolecules. J. Mol. Evol. 35, 77-89.
- Asai. K., Hayamizu, S. & Onizuka, K. (1993). HMM with protein structure grammar. In Proceedings of the Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, pp. 783-791. IEEE Computer Society Press, Los Alamitos. CA.
- Bairoch. A. (1992). Prosite: a dictionary of sites and patterns in proteins. Nucl. Acids Res. 20. 2013-2018.
- Baldi, P. & Chauvin, Y. (1993). A smooth learning algorithm for hidden Markov models. Neural Computation, in the press.
- Baldi, P., Chauvin, Y., Hunkapiller, T. & McClure, M. A. (1993). Hidden Markov models in molecular biology: new algorithms and applications. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 5 (Hanson, Cowan & Giles, eds), np. 747-754. Morgan

their endocrine. paracrine and autocrine ligands. Cell, 71. 1-4.

- Geman, S., Bienenstock, E. & Doursat, R. (1992). Neural networks and the bias/variance dilemma. Neural Computation. 4. 1-58.
- Grabner, M., Friedrich, K., Knaus, H.-G., Striessnig, J., Scheffauer, F., Staudinger, R., Koch, W. J., Schwartz, A. & Glossmann, H. (1991). Calcium channels from *Cyprinus carpio* skeletal muscle. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U.S.A. 88, 727-731.
- Gribskov, M., Lüthy, R. & Eisenberg, D. (1990). Profile analysis. Methods Enzymol. 183, 146-159.
- Hanks, S. K. & Quinn, A. M. (1991). Protein kinase catalytic domain sequence database: identification of conserved features of primary structure and classification of family members. Methods Enzymol. 200. 38-62.
- Hanks, S. K., Quinn, A. M. & Hunter, T. (1988). The protein kinase family: conserved features and deduced phylogeny of the catalytic domain. *Science*, 241, 42-52.
- Haussler. D. & Krogh, A. (1992). Protein alignment and clustering. Presented at the conference Neural Networks for Computing.

Haussler D Krogh A Mian I S & Siölander K

Dual-specificity protein kinases: will any hydroxyl do? Trends Biochem. Sci. 17. 114-119.

- Lüthy, R., McLachlan, A. D. & Eisenberg, D. (1991). Secondary structure-based profiles: use of structureconserving scoring table in searching protein sequence databases for structural similarities. *Proteins: Struct. Funct. Genet.* 10, 229-239.
- Moncrief, N. D., Kretsinger, R. H. & Goodman, M. (1990).
 Evolution of EF-hand calcium-modulated proteins.
 I. Relationships based on amino acid sequences.
 J. Mol. Evol. 30, 522-562.
- Nakayama, S., Moncrief, N. D. & Kretsinger, R. H. (1992). Evolution of EF-hand calcium-modulated proteins. II. Domains of several subfamilies have diverse evolutionary histories. J. Mol. Evol. 34, 416-448.
- Nowlan, S. (1990). Maximum likelihood competitive learning. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (Touretsky, D., ed). vol. 2, pp. 574-582, Morgan Kaufmann, San Mateo. CA.
- Nowlan, S. J. & Hinton, G. E. (1992). Soft weightsharing. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 4 (Moody. Hanson & Lippmann, eds). Morgan Kauffmann Publishers. San Mateo, CA.
- Persechini, A., Moncrief, N. D. & Kretsinger, R. H. (1989). The EF-hand family of calcium-modulated proteins. *Trends Neurosci.* 12 (11), 462-467.
- Rabiner, L. R. (1989). A tutorial on hidden Markov models and selected applications in speech recognition. Proc IEEE, 77 (2), 257-286.
- Sakakibara, Y., Brown, M., Underwood, R., Mian, I. S. & Haussler, D. (1993). Stochastic context-free

grammars for modeling RNA. Technical Report UCSC-CRL-93-16 University of California at Santa Cruz, Computer Science Dept., Santa Cruz, CA 95064.

- Sibbald, P. & Argos, P. (1990). Weighting aligned protein or nucleic acid sequences to correct for unequal representation. J. Mol. Biol. 216, 813-818.
- Stultz, C. M., White, J. V. & Smith, T. F. (1993). Structural analysis based on state-space modeling. Protein Sci. 2, 305-315.
- Subbiah. S. & Harrison, S. C. (1989). A method for multiple sequence alignment with gaps. J. Mol. Biol. 209, 539-548.
- Tanaka, H., Ishikawa, M., Asai, K. & Konagaya, A. (1993). Hidden Markov models and iterative aligners. In First International Conference on Intelligent Systems for Molecular Biology, AAAI Press, Menlo Park.
- Taylor, W. R. (1986). The classification of amino acid conservation. J. Theoret. Biol. 119, 205-218.
- Vingron. M. & Argos. P. (1991). Motif recognition and alignment for many sequences by comparison of dotmatrices. J. Mol. Biol. 218, 33-43.
- Waterman, M. S. (1989). Sequence alignments. In Mathematical Methods for DNA Sequences (Waterman, M. S., ed.). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Waterman. M. S. & Perlwitz, M. D. (1986). Line geometries for sequence comparisons. Bull. Math. Biol. 46. 567-577.
- White, J. V., Stultz, C. M. & Smith, T. F. (1991). Protein classification by nonlinear optimal filtering of aminoacid sequences. Unpublished manuscript.

Edited by F. Cohen

(Received 4 January 1993; accepted 23 September 1993)